

Michigan Bridge Conference - 2009

# Load Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) and Allowable Stress Design (ASD)

Different Ways of Looking  
at the Same Thing

CG Gilbertson – Michigan Tech

# Uncertainties in Design

- ① Uncertainty exists in everything we design
- ① We compensate for these uncertainties in our design codes
- ① The way in which we compensate is different between LRFD and ASD

# Uncertainty - LRFD

- ◎ Uncertainties are handled in LRFD design codes through:
  - **Nominal Capacities**
    - for uncertainties in material properties, construction tolerances, and
  - **Resistance Factors**
    - for uncertainties in variable loads

# Uncertainty - ASD

- ◎ Uncertainties are handled in ASD design codes through:
  - **Factor of Safety**
    - a single variable is used to handle uncertainty in both load and capacity

# Additional Uncertainties

- ⦿ Design errors
- ⦿ Construction errors
- ⦿ These cannot be incorporated into the design codes but are addressed through proper quality assurance techniques

# Categories of Uncertainty

## ◎ Aleatory

- Dependent upon luck or chance
- Randomness inherent in nature

## ◎ Epistemic

- Dependent upon human knowledge
- This may be reduced by increasing the profession's knowledge about the area of interest

# Types of Probability

## ⦿ Frequentist

- What we commonly think of with probability
- A coin flipped 10,000 times comes up heads H times, the probability is  $H/10,000$  of flipping heads on that particular coin

## ⦿ Subjective

- A coin has two sides, each side **appears** to have an equal chance of landing up, therefore the probability is  $\frac{1}{2}$  for getting one side versus the other.

# Return periods

- ◎ Loads caused by earthquakes or flood events are based on return periods (say 50 years)
  - On average, an event will occur once every 50 years
  - What is the probability that in 50 years the load will be exceeded?



# Random Variables

- Material properties are considered random variables
- The compressive strength of concrete will vary between cylinders within a batch and between batches
- Probability distributions (such as a normal, log normal, or Weibull curves) represent material properties even though we design with a specific value

# Causes of Uncertainty

## ⦿ Time

- What weight/configuration will a truck have in 30 years

## ⦿ Statistical Limits

- How many cores are needed to represent the roadway

## ⦿ Model Limits

- Simplifications used for design

## ⦿ Randomness

- Properties of random variables (material properties)

## ⦿ Human Error

- Errors during design or construction

# How we Handle Uncertainty in Design

- The change from ASD to LRFD was proposed in the late 70's, early 80's because of LRFD's ability to better handle certain sources of uncertainty

# ASD

- The general form for ASD is:

$$\frac{R_n}{FS} \geq Q_d + \gamma(Q_{t1} + Q_{t2})$$

where:

- $R_n$  = nominal resistance
- $Q_d$  = nominal dead load effect
- $Q_{t1}, Q_{t2}$  = nominal transient load effects
- $\gamma$  = load combination factor
- $FS$  = Factor of Safety

# LRFD

- The general form for LRFD is:

$$\phi R_n \geq \gamma_d Q_d + \gamma_{t1} Q_{t1} + \gamma_{t2} Q_{t2}$$

where

- $R_n$  = nominal resistance
- $Q_d$  = nominal dead load effect
- $Q_{t1}$ ,  $Q_{t2}$  = nominal transient load effects
- $\gamma_1$  = load factor associated with the  $i$ th load effect
- $\phi$  = resistance factor

# Calibration of LRFD to ASD

- The ASD codes contained a large amount of implicit design knowledge
- Calibration transfers the risk society is willing to accept with regard to structural failures (ASD evolved overtime to include this)
- Structural engineers are more comfortable with small changes

# Uncertainty Reduction

- Use load and reduction calculation techniques
- Check designs and inspect construction
- Make appropriately conservative assumptions
- Check complex analyses with more simple methods
- Use your own experience

# Reference

- Bulleit, William M. “Uncertainty in Structural Engineering”. Practice Periodical on Structural Design and Construction. American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), February 2008, pp 24 – 30.